## SHADOW/INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP in RUSSIA: SOME CONSIDERATIONS

Prof. Alexander Chepurenko, CRCE Conference, Pension Zaplata, Thursday 26 -Friday 27 September 2013

# PROPOSED APPROACH

- Shadow (= grey, informal) economy ≠ black (=illegal, criminal) economy: Illegal activity – something not only hidden but criminal, forbidden by law
- Informal economy > informal entrepreneurial activity
- Informal entrepreneurial activity > informal entrepreneurship
- Roots of informal entrepreneurial activity in post-Socialist economies and societies – partly in the 'common past', but mainly – in (differing) transitional contexts

## INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES: definition

- Informal entrepreneurial activities (narrow definition): unreported or in other ways hidden from the state activities of formally legal, registered entrepreneurs/ entrepreneurial firms
- Their business is not "antisocial in intent" (De Soto 1989) and they produce goods and services that are not forbidden by law
- Informal = shadow = grey

## INFORMAL ECONOMY ≠ INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES

- Informal employees working informally for businesses being mostly or entirely informal
- Informal hiring by micro entrepreneurs (on start-up stage to test the business feasibility while minimizing transaction costs)
- Informal hiring by more established business (part of 'getting by' strategy in a serial manner)
- Informal financing of businesses
- Free-lancers occasionally hiring employees on informal basis
- Informal work by family, friends, and acquaintances (partly substituting formerly reciprocal relations)
- Only actors marked red are actively doing informal/shadow/grey entrepreneurial activities

MAIN FORMS OF INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES

•Off-the-books-transactions with providers and customers

- •Hiring of employees without written contract
- •Informal financing of business (3 F)

#### 4 theoretical explanations of informal entrepreneurship under transition (C. Williams)

- Modernization perspective: "under-development," "backwardness" and "traditionalism" (very general observations to be discussed in brief concept of LAO could help to formulate it in a more concrete way),
- Structuralist perspective: informal entrepreneurs are unwilling and unfortunate pawns in an exploitative global economic system, cast out into the informal economy because of their inability to find formal work (lack of skills, necessity driven entrepreneurs)
- Neo-liberal perspective: direct response to the over-regulation of the market (avoiding administrative burdens),
- Post-structuralist perspective: an alternative space in which participants transform their work identity and/or reveal their true selves such as by establishing informal lifestyle businesses (down-shifters and free-lancers becoming entrepreneurs)
- In fact, all of these reasons play important role in sustainable persistence of informal entrepreneurial activity in Russia, influencing a *variety of motivations and strategies* of informal entrepreneurial activity on individual level

#### REASONS OF INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES IN TRANSITIONAL ECONOMIES like RUSSIA

- 'Path dependency' (mentality): high power distance, subsistence economy & neighborhoods' help tradition, tolerance against tax evasion etc., relying more on shared norms than on legal framework → higher inclination to act informally among adult population
- Macro-economic reasons: after opening of internal markets, micro and small business mainly competitive only in B2C services (repair, construction, furnishing etc.) which are often very informally by its nature → rational strategy of avoiding unfair competition
- Labor market reasons: structural unemployment etc. ('shuttle traders'), since the end of the 90ies of minor importance
- Financial reasons: high level of inflation, weak (micro)financial institutions → lending and borrowing money informally (more expensive but quick)
- Societal reasons: corruption as a medium to avoid unnecessary interventions and obstacles from authorities → informal entrepreneurship both result and precondition of embedded corruption
- State interventions: fiscal oppression leads to higher level of informality (example: 2013, minus 700 000 solo entrepreneurs)

#### WHEN INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY BECOMES A PREDICTED RATIONAL BEHAVIOUR?

	Formal	Informal		
Main risks	Commercial, political	Only commercial		
Property rights	Defined formally, enforcement by State	Defined informally, enforcement by 'roof'		
Competition	High and open	Hidden, therefore may be low or high		
Remaining income	Income minus ammortisation minus taxes	Income minus ammortisation minus bribes		

TYPES OF ENTREPRENEURS, BY LEVEL OF FORMALIZATION AND MOTIVATION: TARGET GROUPS MARKED RED

	Mostly formally acting	Mostly informally acting
Mostly necessity driven	'Fools' (having limited resources but acting according to rules)	'Marginals' (coping with limited resources by avoiding of regulations)
Mostly opportuni ty driven	'Stars' (having sufficient resources and skills, heavily personally-driven)	'Cynics' (using the informality as additional advantage when reducing costs) <sub>9</sub>

#### INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY OF POPULATION

According to Estrin & Mickiewicz (2012, p. 575):

- "the likelihood of entrepreneurial entry in general, and on the likelihood of entry into high growth aspiration activities ... decreases as the shadow economy becomes larger, at least up a certain point";
- "the negative effect of a larger shadow economy may be reversed when the informal sector has a large share of GDP... when the shadow economy is sufficiently large, it becomes institutionally embedded. In consequence, a set of functional norms for economic transactions is generated, which provide an alternative order for economic organization".
- Russia is a country with a relatively large shadow economy (=informal sector), see next slide...

## DIVERSITY OF INFORMAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES IN RUSSIA

- Established entrepreneurs conducting some of their transactions informally (expert estimations: 25 *to 40* % of reported economic activities)
- Start-ups as spin-off from formal and informal labor market toward legitimacy (ca. 5 % of adult population; Gimpelson & Zudina)
- 'Silent lenders' engaged into informal financing of entrepreneurs (ca. 2 % of adult population; GEM Russia, 2006-2011 data)
- Free-lancers becoming employers on temporary basis on informal basis (no data)
- Occasional entrepreneurship (business parallel to employment) (driving people and goods occasionally, etc.) (no data)
- Meanwhile...

# The early entrepreneurial activity in Russia is stable low (GEM, 2006-2011)

Indicator	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
TEA, %	4,9	2,7	3,5	3,9	3,9	4,5
NasEnt, %	3,5	1,3	1,7	1,8	2,2	2,4
BBO, %	1,4	1,3	1,8	2,1	1,7	2,2
EBO, %	1,2	1,4	1	2,1	2,7	2,8
TEA OPP, %	3,4	1,9	2,5	2,6	2,5	3
TEA NEC, %	1,4	0,5	0,7	1,1	1,3	1,2
TEAf, %	2,5	1,6	2,5	3,2	3,5	4
TEAm, %	7,3	3,8	4,5	4,6	4,5	5,1
Nas nec, %	0,7	0,4	0,2	0,6	0,7	0,5
BBO_nec, %	0,9	0,2	0,7	0,5	0,6	0,7
EBO nec, %	0,1	0,2	0,2	0,6	1,2	1,1

## Famous 'Russian uniqueness', or ?

- North e.a. (2009): free vs. limited access orders
- We assume that in countries with:
- Limited access order and ...
- ... coexisting types of socio-economic development (Natalia Zubarevich on the 'three Russia's': traditional rural, industrial urban and post-modern in biggest cities)
- informal activities of start-ups may be rather intensive (second jobs, subsistence economy, neighbors' cooperation, etc.) but not of *entrepreneurial* nature
- because (1) the entry is either not 'open' or open only for those with *specific* social capital; (2) in big areas there are other possibilities to finance the households than employment or own business given subsistence economy combined with self-employment, or even black economy (hunting, fishing etc.)

13

• While already established SMEs are hugely engaged in different forms of informal entrepreneurial activities

## Challenging questions:

- Should informal entrepreneurial activity in Russia be treated as a danger or as a quite rational behavior under fragile environment ? → Implications for society and state policy
- Which kind of concepts, paradigms etc. should be used to explain the nature and sustainability of informal entrepreneurial activity in Russia and similar economies an societies?
- Which are the most appropriate research strategies to cope with informal entrepreneurship in Russia (and in some fragile post-transitional environments generally), as typical quantitative methods are hardly reliable?

## What is different?

- Contrary to established market economies with FAO where entrepreneurial activities start informally but then move toward more formal activity
- In established markets with LAO the situation might be opposite: the older – the stronger the incentives to become informally (?)

## THANK YOU!

# Questions, replies, comments welcomed!!

- Aidis R., Estrin S., and Mickiewicz T. (2008). Institutions and entrepreneurship development in Russia: A comparative perspective. *Journal of Business Venturing*. Vol.23, pp. 656-672.
- Batjargal B. (2006). The dynamics of entrepreneurs' networks in a transitioning economy: The case of Russia. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*. Vol. 18(4), pp. 305–320.
- Baumol W. (1990). Entrepreneurship: productive, unproductive, and destructive. *The Journal of Political Economy*. Vol. 98 (5), pp. 893-921.
- Castells M., and Portes A. (1989). World Underneath: The Origins, Dynamics, and Effects of the Informal Economy. In: Portes A., Castells M., and Benton L.A. (eds.). The Informal Economy: Studies in Advanced and Less Developed Countries, 11-41. Baltimore and London: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Commander S., and Tolstopiatenko A. (1997). A Model of the Informal Economy in Transition Economies. WP 122. The William Davidson Institute, University of Michigan.
- Earle J., and Sakova Z. (2000). Business start-ups or disguised unemployment? Evidence on the character of selfemployment from transition economies. *Labour Economics*. Vol. 7, pp. 575–601.
- Eder M., Yakovlev A., and Garkoglu A. (2003). Suitcase trade between Turkey and Russia: Microeconomics and institutional structure. Preprint WP4/2003/07. Moscow, HSE, 2003.
- Estrin S., and Mickiewicz T. (2011). <u>Entrepreneurship in Transition Economies: The Role of Institutions and</u> <u>Generational Change.</u> In: Minniti M. (ed.). The Dynamics of Entrepreneurship. Oxford University Press.

- Estrin S., and Mickiewicz T. (2012). Shadow Economy and Entrepreneurial Entry. *Review of Development Economics*. Vol. 16(4), pp. 559–578.
- Feige E.L. (1997). Underground Activity and Institutional Change: Productive, Protective and Predatory Behavior in Transition Economies. In: Nelson J.M., Tilley C. and Walker L. (eds.). Transforming Post-Communist Political Economies, 21-35. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Gërxhani K. (2004). The informal sector in developed and less developed countries: a literature survey. *Public Choice*. Vol. 120, No. 3/4, pp. 267-300.
- Gimpelson V., and Zudina A. (2011). 'Non-formals' in the Russian economy: who are they and how many? *Voprossy ekonomiki*. No. 10, pp. 53-76 (in Russian).
- La Porta R., and Shleifer A. (2008). The unofficial economy and economic development. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*. Vol. 2008, pp. 275-352.
- Ledeneva A.V. (1998). Russia's Economy of Favours: Blat, Networking, and Informal Exchange. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Maloney W.F. (2004). Informality revisited. *World Development*. Vol. 32 (7), pp. 1159–1178.
- North D.C., Wallis J.J., and Weingast B.R. (2009). Violence and Social Orders: A Conceptual Framework for Interpreting Recorded Human History. N.Y.: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Ovaska T., and Sobel R.S. (2005).
  <u>Entrepreneurship in Post-Socialist Economies</u>. Journal of Private Enterprise. Vol. 21 (1), pp. 8-28.

- Portes A., and Haller W. (2005). The Informal Economy. In: Smelser N. J., and Swedberg R. (eds.). The Handbook of Economic Sociology. 2nd ed. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press & Russell Sage Foundation, pp. 403–425.
- Puffer S.M., McCarthy D.J., and Boisot M. (2010). Entrepreneurship in Russia and China: The impact of formal institutional voids. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. Vol. 34(3), pp. 441–467.
- Rehn A., and Taalas S. (2004). Znakomstva i svyazi (Acquaintances and connections): Blat, the Soviet Union, and mundane entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*. Vol. 16(3), pp. 235–250.
- Renooy P.H. (1990). The Informal Economy: Meaning, Measurement and Social Significance. Amsterdam: Netherlands Geographical Studies.
- Rona-Tas A., and Sagi M. (2005). Entrepreneurship and self-employment in transition economies. *Entrepreneurship (Research in the Sociology of Work)*. Vol. 1, pp. 279-310.
- Scase, R. (2003). Entrepreneurship and Proprietorship in Transition: Policy Implications for the SME Sector. In: McIntyre R., and Dallago B. (eds.). Small and Medium Enterprises in Transitional Economies, Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 64–77.
- Schneider F., and Enste D.H. (2000). Shadow economies: size, causes and consequences. *Journal of Economic Literature*. Vol. 38, pp. 77-114.
- Smallbone D., and Welter F. (2001). The distinctiveness of entrepreneurship in transition economies. *Small Business Economics*. Vol. 16 (4), pp. 249-262.
- Smallbone D., and Welter F. (2009). Entrepreneurship and Small Business Development in Post-Socialist Economies. London: Routledge.

- <u>Strebkov</u> D., <u>and Shevchuk</u> A. (2012). <u>Freelancers in Russia: Remote Work Patterns and E-Markets</u>. *Economic sociology - The European electronic newsletter*, 2012. Vol. 13 (2), pp. 37–45.
- Tonoyan V., Strohmeyer R., Habib M., et al. (2010). Corruption and entrepreneurship: How formal and informal institutions shape small firm behavior in transition and mature market economies. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. Vol. 34(5), pp. 803–831.
- Volkov V. (1999). Violent Entrepreneurship in Post-Communist Russia. *Europe-Asia Studies*. Vol. 51 (5), pp. 741-754
- Webb J.W., Tihanyi L., Ireland R. D., and Sirmon D.G. (2009). You say illegal, I say legitimate: Entrepreneurship in the informal economy. *Academy of Management Review*. Vol. 34 (3), pp. 492–510.
- Welter F., and Smallbone D. (2011). Institutional perspectives on entrepreneurial behavior in challenging environments. *Journal of Small Business Management*. Vol. 49(1), pp. 107–125.
- Williams C.C., and Round J. (2007). Gender variations in the nature of undeclared work: Evidence from Ukraine, available at: <u>http://www.socresonline.org.uk/13/4/7.html</u>
- Williams C., and Round J. (2010). Spatial variations in the character of off-thebooks entrepreneurship: some lessons from a study of contrasting districts of Moscow. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*. Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 287 – 300.
- Yakovlev A., Golikova V., and Kapralova N. (2007). Russian 'shuttles' from necessity driven entrepreneurs to the integration into the market economy Mir Rossii, No.2 (in Russian).